1	BEFORE THE
2	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
3	
4	BENCH SESSION
5	(PUBLIC UTILITIES)
6	
7	Springfield, Illinois
8	
9	Wednesday, August 14, 2013
10	
11	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 a.m., Illinois
12	Commerce Commission, 527 East Capitol Avenue, First
13	Floor, Springfield, Illinois.
14	
15	PRESENT:
16	MR. DOUGLAS P. SCOTT, Chairman
17	MS. ANN McCABE, Commissioner MS. SHERINA MAYE, Acting Commissioner
18	(Via videoconference) MR. MIGUEL DEL VALLE, Acting Commissioner
19	(Via videoconference)
20	MIDWEST LITIGATION SERVICES
21	By: Rhonda Rhodes Bentley, Reporter License No. 084-002706
22	
23	
24	

- 1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Pursuant to the provisions
- of the Open Meetings Act, I now convene a regularly
- 3 scheduled Bench session of the Illinois Commerce
- 4 Commission. With me in Springfield is Commissioner
- 5 McCabe. With us in Chicago are Acting Commissioner
- 6 Del Valle and Acting Commissioner Maye. I am Chairman
- 7 Scott. We have a quorum.
- 8 Before moving into the agenda,
- 9 according to Section 1700.10 of Title 2 of the
- 10 Administrative Code, this is the time we allow members
- of the public to address the Commission. Members of
- 12 the public wishing to address the Commission must
- 13 notify the Chief Clerk's office at least 24 hours
- 14 prior to Commission meetings. According to the Chief
- 15 Clerk's office, we have no requests to speak at
- 16 today's Bench session.
- 17 Moving on to the Public Utility
- 18 agenda, we'll begin with Item E-1, which is
- 19 MidAmerican's annual filing to modify its Rate No. 57
- 20 for Cogeneration and Small Power Production
- 21 Facilities. Staff recommends that we approve the new
- 22 rate by not suspending the filing.
- Is there any discussion?
- 24 (No response.)

```
1
                       Is there a motion to not suspend the
    filing?
 2
 3
                 COMMISSIONER McCABE: So moved.
 4
                 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?
                 ACTING COMMISSIONER MAYE: Second.
 5
                 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and
 6
 7
    seconded. All in favor say aye.
 8
                       (A chorus of ayes.)
9
                       Any opposed?
10
                       (No response.)
11
                       The vote is four to nothing, and the
    filing is not suspended. We will use this four to
12
13
    nothing vote for the remainder of today's Public
    Utilities Agenda unless otherwise noted.
14
                       Item E-2 is MidAmerican's filing to
15
16
    modify Rider 4, Interruptible Service, and Rider 14,
17
    Curtailment Service. Staff recommends that we approve
    the modification by not suspending the filing.
18
                       Is there any discussion?
19
20
                       (No response.)
21
                       Any objections?
22
                       (No response.)
23
                       Hearing none, the filing is not
```

24

suspended.

- 1 Item E-3 is Ameren's filing
- 2 proposing revenue neutral tariff changes, pursuant to
- 3 Section 16-108.5(e). Staff recommends that we enter
- 4 an Order suspending the filing and setting the matter
- 5 for hearing.
- Is there any discussion?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 Any objections?
- 9 (No response.)
- Hearing none, the Order is entered,
- 11 and the filing is suspended.
- 12 Item E-4 is ComEd's filing to revise
- 13 its Rider PORCB by changing the definition of
- 14 uncollectible factor and establishing a cost recovery
- 15 amount. Staff recommends that we approve this
- 16 revision by not suspending the filing.
- 17 Is there any discussion?
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 Any objection?
- 20 (No response.)
- 21 Hearing none, the filing is not
- 22 suspended.
- 23 Item E-5 is Docket No. 11-0672.
- 24 This is our investigation into MidAmerican's

- 1 compliance with Section 8-101 of the Public Utilities
- 2 Act. ALJ Jones recommends entry of a Post Exceptions
- 3 Order adopting three of Staff's recommendations.
- 4 I believe Commissioner McCabe has
- 5 some proposed edits here. Commissioner.
- 6 COMMISSIONER McCABE: Yes. The edits I am
- 7 proposing adopts Staff recommendation to have the
- 8 company conduct their own investigation of accidents
- 9 regardless of whether they involve a contract employee
- 10 or direct employee.
- In its reply brief, MidAmerican
- 12 conceded that it currently does its own review and
- 13 determination of circumstances surrounding an accident
- 14 involving its contractors.
- 15 As Staff points out, these edits
- 16 simply insure that MEC has the ultimate responsibility
- 17 for monitoring and conducting investigations of
- 18 accidents at their facilities. This will enable the
- 19 company to identify and implement safety measures and
- 20 will insure the company meets its burden under Section
- 21 8-101 of the Public Utilities Act.
- I thank the Chairman for his input
- 23 and fellow Commissioners for their support, and I move
- 24 for adoption of the edits.

- 1 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Commissioner McCabe has
- 2 moved for the adoption of the edits. Is there a
- 3 second?
- 4 ACTING COMMISSIONER MAYE: Second.
- 5 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and
- 6 seconded. Any further discussion on the revisions?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 All in favor of the revisions say
- 9 aye.
- 10 (A chorus of ayes.)
- 11 Any opposed?
- 12 (No response.)
- The vote is four to nothing, and the
- 14 revisions are adopted.
- 15 Any other discussion on this matter?
- 16 (No response.)
- 17 Is there now a motion to enter the
- 18 Order as amended?
- 19 COMMISSIONER McCABE: So moved.
- 20 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?
- 21 ACTING COMMISSIONER DEL VALLE: Second.
- 22 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and
- 23 seconded. All in favor say aye.
- 24 (A chorus of ayes.)

1 Any opposed? 2 (No response.) The vote is four to nothing, and the 3 Order as amended is ordered. 4 5 Items E-6 through E-8 can be taken together. These are complaints against ComEd as to 6 7 billing or charges. In each case it appears that the 8 parties have settled their differences and have filed 9 a Joint Motion to Dismiss, which ALJ Benn recommends 10 we grant. 11 Is there any discussion? 12 (No response.) 13 Any objections? 14 (No response.) 15 Hearing none, the Joint Motions to Dismiss are granted. 16 17 Items E-9 through E-11 can be taken 18 together. These are complaints against ComEd as to 19 billing or charges. In each case the ALJ recommends 20 entry of an Order dismissing the complaint without 21 prejudice. 22 Is there any discussion? 23 (No response.) Any objections? 24

- 1 (No response.)
- 2 Hearing none, the Orders are
- 3 entered.
- 4 Item E-12 is Chet DeKing's complaint
- 5 against ComEd regarding the removal of trees from his
- 6 property. This matter will be held for disposition at
- 7 a future Commission meeting.
- 8 Item E-13 is Docket No. 13-0030.
- 9 This is ComEd's reconciliation of revenues collected
- 10 under coal tar riders with prudent costs associated
- 11 with coal tar clean-up expenditures.
- We lost Chicago.
- 13 (WHEREIN, a discussion was held off
- 14 the record.)
- 15 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: We'll go back on the
- 16 record now. I don't know if this got on before we got
- 17 knocked off the air, but Item E-12 is Chet DeKing's
- 18 complaint against ComEd regarding removal of trees
- 19 from his property. This matter will be held for
- 20 disposition at a future Commission meeting.
- 21 Item E-13 is Docket No. 13-0030.
- 22 This is ComEd's reconciliation of revenues collected
- 23 under coal tar riders with prudent costs associated
- 24 with coal tar clean-up expenditures. ALJ Hilliard

- 1 recommends entry of an Order approving the
- 2 reconciliation.
- Is there any discussion?
- 4 (No response.)
- 5 Any objections?
- 6 (No response.)
- 7 Hearing none, the Order is entered.
- 8 Item E-14 is Docket No. 13-0042.
- 9 This is our Citation of U.S. Sun Energy for its
- 10 failure to file its ABC Compliance Recertification
- 11 report as required by 83 Ill. Adm. Code 454.110. ALJ
- 12 Jorgenson recommends entry of an Order suspending
- 13 Respondent's Certificate of Authority for a period of
- 14 90 days.
- 15 Is there any discussion?
- 16 (No response.)
- 17 Any objections?
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 Hearing none, the Order is entered.
- 20 Item E-15 is Docket No. 13-0436.
- 21 This is IDOT'S Petition for Authorization for the
- 22 taking of a portion of ComEd's property in Winnebago
- 23 County by eminent domain authority. ALJ Riley
- 24 recommends entry of an Order granting the requested

```
1
     authority.
 2
                       Is there any discussion?
 3
                       (No response.)
                       Any objections?
 4
 5
                       (No response.)
                       Hearing none, the Order is entered.
 6
 7
                       Item E-16 is Docket No. 13-0444.
     This is Ameren and Menard Electric Co-op's Joint
 8
    Petition for approval of a partial service area
 9
10
     agreement. ALJ Jones recommends entry of an Order
11
     granting the Joint Petition.
                       Is there any discussion?
12
13
                       (No response.)
14
                       Any objections?
15
                       (No response.)
16
                       Hearing none, the Order is entered.
17
                       Item E-17 is Docket No. 12-0598.
     This is Ameren Transmission Company of Illinois'
18
    Petition for a Certificate of Convenience and
19
20
    Necessity pursuant to Section 8-406.1 of the Public
21
    Utilities Act and an Order pursuant to Section 8-503
22
    of the Public Utilities Act to construct, operate and
23
    maintain a new high voltage transmission line and
```

related facilities in counties across Illinois. ALJs

24

- 1 Albers and Yoder recommend entry of a Post Exceptions
- 2 Order. While this item will be held for disposition
- 3 at a future Commission proceeding, I believe
- 4 Commissioner McCabe had a couple questions of Judge
- 5 Albers.
- Do you want to say your name?
- 7 ALJ ALBERS: John Albers, A-l-b-e-r-s.
- 8 COMMISSIONER McCABE: If the hearing were
- 9 granted in the future on some portion of this line,
- 10 would notice be allowable for landowners?
- 11 ALJ ALBERS: Yes. We would have to
- 12 certainly provide notice to the landowners. I suspect
- 13 that depending on what the Commission does, and if
- 14 they grant rehearing on -- if there's -- with a
- 15 totally new route, we would certainly have to identify
- 16 those landowners and provide notice to them.
- 17 Given that there's only 150 days for
- 18 a hearing, it'd be a pretty tight -- all together a
- 19 pretty tight timeframe for the case, but under the
- 20 original 406.1, there's only 150 days for that as
- 21 well. So --
- 22 COMMISSIONER McCABE: Okay.
- 23 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: So even if there were new
- 24 -- new people that hadn't been previously identified

- 1 because of a new proposed route, they would get notice
- 2 and would be able to participate in the hearing?
- 3 ALJ ALBERS: Yes, we would make sure of
- 4 that. Might not get a lot of time to participate, but
- 5 they will be notified.
- 6 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: I understand.
- 7 COMMISSIONER McCABE: Okay. Thank you.
- 8 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Thank you, Judge.
- 9 Any other questions for the Judge?
- 10 ACTING COMMISSIONER DEL VALLE: Yes.
- 11 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Mr. Del Valle.
- 12 ACTING COMMISSIONER: Do you feel that the
- 13 stipulated routes had adequate time for study by those
- 14 proposing them and all other parties?
- 15 ALJ ALBERS: My personal view is that given
- 16 the scope of this project, the time provided under the
- 17 statute was insufficient, but given that we had to do
- 18 it with the 225 days provided by law, I think we and
- 19 other parties have tried to do the best we could. You
- 20 know, I think, as I mentioned at one point in the
- 21 Order, you know, there's things that we may not be
- 22 aware of that exist out there when it comes time to
- 23 actually put poles in the ground, and had there been
- 24 time, we might be able to identify those.

- 1 ACTING COMMISSIONER DEL VALLE: Were all
- 2 the legal requirements in your opinion met for all
- 3 routes notice -- legal notice requirements?
- 4 ALJ ALBERS: I believe that those who
- 5 actually own the land upon which a route has been
- 6 proposed got notice. There's one -- one alternative
- 7 that a group of landowners proposed. When they first
- 8 proposed it, they gave us a list of landowners along
- 9 their alternative route, didn't give us any addresses,
- 10 and then a few days later, they provided the
- 11 addresses, but we missed that. Later, however, that
- 12 route was abandoned when the group that offered that
- 13 alternative agreed to a stipulation with Ameren, and
- 14 it didn't seem that that would have any effect then.
- 15 I believe it was in their briefs or one point Staff
- 16 then suggested -- I'm sorry, I'll defer to Judge Yoder
- 17 for a moment. At one point Staff --
- 18 ALJ YODER: I have to find it, but it was
- 19 Staff, and I think Staff and several other parties
- 20 then latched on to that proposed route.
- 21 ALJ ALBERS: Right.
- 22 ALJ YODER: A lot of acronyms, but it was
- 23 MSSCLFT, and then they left that route, went with a
- 24 stipulated route with Ameren, but then two or three

- 1 other parties wanted to suggest that route, although,
- 2 in my opinion, there wasn't much further evidence
- 3 presented to support that route with the initial
- 4 suggestion.
- 5 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Would you please just let
- 6 the court reporter know your name?
- 7 ALJ YODER: Oh, Judge Yoder, again.
- 8 ACTING COMMISSIONER DEL VALLE: Thank you.
- 9 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: And I know in terms of
- 10 comments that it's not a rate case so you're not
- 11 required to give us an update on it -- the comments on
- 12 it, but maybe for next week's meeting if you would --
- 13 ALJ ALBERS: I can tell you right now. As
- of this morning it was 243 comments. Many of them
- 15 were claiming they never received notice, so I just
- 16 want to mention that in response to Commissioner Dell
- 17 Valle's question. Of those, I don't know -- we have
- 18 no way of knowing how many of them would have gotten
- 19 notice as landowners. Some of them seem to be
- 20 complaining that they didn't get notice, but they
- 21 weren't the landowners, so they wouldn't have gotten
- 22 notice anyway. Some of the comments clearly indicate
- 23 that they live within a mile or so of the proposed
- 24 route, and -- well, that may be true, but you wouldn't

- 1 have gotten notice anyway. So --
- 2 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Okay. And those -- Are
- 3 those comments all posted?
- 4 ALJ ALBERS: Every comment that we received
- 5 through E-docket or through the toll-free number at
- 6 the Consumer Services Division is posted on E-docket.
- 7 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Okay. Very good. Thank
- 8 you, Judge. Anything else?
- 9 JUDGE WALLACE: Our deadline is next
- 10 Tuesday.
- 11 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: The deadline is next
- 12 Tuesday, a short future for a future Commission
- 13 disposition.
- 14 Thank you, Judges.
- 15 As we said, that will be held until
- 16 our meeting next -- next Tuesday, the 20th.
- 17 Turning now to Natural Gas, Item G-1
- 18 is Docket No. 10-0685. This is Ameren's
- 19 reconciliation of revenues collected under gas
- 20 adjustment charges with actual costs prudently
- 21 incurred. ALJ Albers recommends an Order approving
- 22 the reconciliation.
- 23 Is there any discussion?
- 24 (No response.)

1	Any objections?
2	(No response.)
3	Hearing none, the Order is entered.
4	On to Telecommunications, Item T-1
5	is Docket No. 13-0443. This is AT&T and Sprint's
6	Joint Petition for Approval of an Interconnection
7	Agreement. ALJ Baker recommends entry of an Order
8	approving the agreement.
9	Is there any discussion?
LO	(No response.)
L1	Any objections?
L2	(No response.)
L3	Hearing none, the Order is entered.
L4	Item T-2 is Docket No. 13-0213.
L5	This is Frontier North's Petition for Confidential
L6	and/or Proprietary Treatment of its 2012 Part 790.350
L7	Report for a period of five years. ALJ Benn
L8	recommends entry of an Order granting the requested
L9	treatment.
20	Is there any discussion?
21	(No response.)
22	Any objections?
23	(No response.)
24	Hearing none the Order is entered

- 1 Turning now to Water and Sewer, Item
- 2 W-1 is Docket No. 13-0333. This is Aqua Illinois'
- 3 Petition for Approval of a proposed qualifying
- 4 infrastructure plant surcharge rider pursuant to 83
- 5 Ill. Adm. Code Part 656. Petitioner filed a Motion to
- 6 Dismiss the proceeding which ALJ Jorgenson recommends
- 7 we grant.
- 8 Is there any discussion?
- 9 (No response.)
- 10 Any objections?
- 11 (No response.)
- Hearing none, the Motion to Dismiss
- is granted.
- 14 Item W-2 is Docket No. 13-0073.
- 15 This is Illinois American Water Company's Petition for
- 16 a Certificate of Convenience and Necessity to provide
- 17 water service to the City of Grafton and its environs
- in Jersey County, and for approval of the purchase of
- 19 certain assets of the City of Grafton, pursuant to
- 20 Section 8-406 of the Public Utilities Act. ALJ Von
- 21 Qualen recommends entry of an Order granting the
- 22 requested relief.
- 23 Is there any discussion?
- 24 (No response.)

- 1 Any objections?
- 2 (No response.)
- 3 Hearing none, the Order is entered.
- 4 Item W-3 is Docket No. 12-0603 and
- 5 12-0604 (Consolidated.) This is Apple Canyon and Lake
- 6 Wildwood's proposed general increase in water service.
- 7 ALJ Haynes recommends entry of an Order approving the
- 8 proposed rate increase.
- 9 In terms of discussion, I believe
- 10 Commissioner McCabe has some comments.
- 11 COMMISSIONER McCABE: Yes. I support the
- 12 proposed Order and acknowledge the work of Staff, the
- 13 company, and intervenors in this case. Two capital
- 14 projects are not included in the Order. Had the
- 15 record been more developed sooner, the Commission
- 16 would likely have included them.
- 17 Utilities did not propose the pro
- 18 forma plant addition adjustments until their rebuttal
- 19 testimony making it difficult for intervenors to fully
- 20 consider and rebut the evidence in the record.
- 21 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Okay.
- 22 Further discussion?
- We need the -- Any public comments
- 24 if we got them? Is Judge Haynes available?

- 1 ALJ HAYNES: I am.
- 2 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Hi, Judge.
- 3 ALJ HAYNES: Hello. Good morning.
- 4 Last time I checked at the end of
- 5 last week, as -- the same numbers as included in the
- 6 Order, which was, I think, 60 comments -- hold on.
- 7 I'll get that number here. 60 public comments for
- 8 Lake Wildwood and just two for Apple Canyon, and these
- 9 are the ones filed on E-docket.
- 10 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Okay. Very good. Thank
- 11 you, Judge.
- 12 ALJ HAYNES: Uh-huh.
- 13 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there any further
- 14 discussion on Item W-3?
- 15 (No response.)
- 16 Are there any objections to the
- 17 proposed Order?
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 Hearing none, the Order is adopted.
- We have two Petitions for Rehearing
- 21 today. Item PR-1 is Docket No. 12-0550. This is
- 22 Sprint's Petition For Arbitration of its
- 23 interconnection agreement with AT&T. Both Sprint and
- 24 AT&T have filed Petitions for Rehearing which ALJs

- 1 Haynes and Jorgenson recommend we deny.
- 2 Is there any discussion?
- 3 (No response.)
- 4 Any objections?
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 Hearing none, both Petitions for
- 7 Rehearing are denied.
- 8 Item PR-2 is Docket No. 13-0034.
- 9 This is our approval of Phase 2 of the Future Gen
- 10 Clean Coal Facility. ComEd has filed a Petition for
- 11 Rehearing.
- I move to deny the Petition for
- 13 Rehearing. Is there a second?
- 14 ACTING COMMISSIONER DEL VALLE: Second.
- 15 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and
- 16 seconded. Any discussion on the motion?
- 17 (No response.)
- 18 All in favor say aye.
- 19 (A chorus of ayes.)
- 20 Any opposed?
- 21 (Nay.)
- The vote is three to one. The ayes
- 23 have it, and the Petition for Rehearing is denied.
- We have two other items of Other

- 1 Business on today's agenda. The first item is our
- 2 report to the General Assembly Concerning Coordination
- 3 between Gas and Electric Utility Energy Efficiency
- 4 Programs and Spending Limits for Gas Utility Energy
- 5 Efficiency Programs. This item will be held for
- 6 disposition at a future Commission meeting.
- 7 The last item of Other Business
- 8 today concerns pending litigation, so we'll need to go
- 9 into closed session to address it.
- 10 Is there a motion to go into closed
- 11 session?
- 12 COMMISSIONER McCABE: So moved.
- 13 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Is there a second?
- 14 ACTING COMMISSIONER DEL VALLE: Second
- 15 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: It's been moved and
- 16 seconded. All in favor say aye.
- 17 (A chorus of ayes.)
- 18 Any opposed?
- 19 (No response.)
- The vote is four to nothing. The
- 21 Commission will now go into closed session.
- 22 Please let me know when the room is
- 23 ready in Chicago.
- 24 (Whereupon, a closed session was had

1	and is	s transcribed	under	a	separate
2	transo	cript.)			
3					
4					
5					
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
2.4					

1 (The following proceedings were had in open session:) 2 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: In closed session 3 the Commission discussed the People of the State of 4 Illinois ex rel. Madigan v. Illinois Commerce 5 6 Commission. 7 I'm going to make a motion that we 8 file to both publish the decision and for rehearing in 9 this matter. Is there a second? 10 (No response.) 11 If not, somebody else take a shot at 12 a motion. 13 ACTING COMMISSIONER MAYE: I move that we 14 file for Petition for Rehearing in this matter. 15 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Okay. 16 COMMISSIONER McCABE: I second that. 17 CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Okay. Is there any discussion on that 18 19 motion? 20 (No response.) 21 All in favor say aye. 22 (A chorus of ayes.) 23 Any opposed? 24 (No response.)

1	The vote is four to nothing, and we
2	have moved to file a Petition for Rehearing on this
3	matter. Okay.
4	Okay. Thank you, gentlemen.
5	COMMISSIONER McCABE: Thank you.
6	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Judge Wallace, are there
7	any other matters to come before the Commission today?
8	JUDGE WALLACE: No, that's all today, Mr.
9	Chairman.
10	CHAIRMAN SCOTT: Seems like enough. Thank
11	you.
12	Hearing none, this meeting stands
13	adjourned.
14	(Which were all the proceedings had
15	for the Public Utilities agenda.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	